



LEONARDO ELECTRONIC ALMANAC

VOL 19 NO 4 VOLUME EDITORS LANFRANCO ACETI & DONNA LEISHMAN

EDITORIAL MANAGERS SHEENA CALVERT & ÖZDEN ŞAHİN

What is the relationship between contemporary digital media and contemporary society? Is it possible to affirm that digital media are without sin and exist purely in a complex socio-political and economic context within which the users bring with them their ethical and cultural complexities? This issue, through a range of scholarly writings, analyzes the problems of ethics and sin within contemporary digital media frameworks.



Content Osmosis and the Political Economy of Social Media

by

Don Ritter

School of Creative Media
City University of Hong Kong
<http://aesthetic-machinery.com>

CONTENT OSMOSIS

Content osmosis is an intangible mechanism that transfers certain characteristics of media's content into an audience, empowering them to feel as if these qualities are within themselves. This

mechanism can make an audience feel more fashionable through fashionable content or more rebellious through rebellious content. Content osmosis can also be present when a person purchases a consumer product, but promotional media associated with the product – and not the product itself – determine which qualities are inherited by a consumer. In the field of fashion, for example, a person becomes fashionable not because of the clothing they wear, but through an intangible entity called 'fashionable' that becomes associated with a certain style or brand of clothing. This entity is brought into existence through various promotional media, including advertisements and fashion shows, but the fashionable entity will disappear when this style or brand is presented in mass media as being unfashionable – even though the actual clothing remains unchanged. If the 'fashionable' entity was actually contained within clothing, then mass media should have no influence on which styles and brands are fashionable or not.

ABSTRACT

This article explains the function of content osmosis within social media and it also provides historical examples of its use in the marketing of cigarettes, commercial films, and computers. The term 'content osmosis' has been coined to designate the transfer of certain characteristics of media's content into an audience, empowering them to feel as if these qualities are within themselves. Content osmosis has existed for decades within the belief that the consumption of specific products and services are determinants of one's personal identity, and this belief has evolved more recently into the notion that our social needs can be enhanced through participation in social media. A detailed example of content osmosis is provided through a character analysis of the 1953 film *Roman Holiday*, a title that coincides with Baron George Gordon Byron's phrase for an event that uses human suffering for enjoyment or profit. This article proposes that the dominant purveyors of social media are using content osmosis as an effective mechanism for attracting audiences to embedded advertising, and that depictions of human tragedy are used to attract audiences who are interested in 'Schadenfreude'.

Although the mechanism of content osmosis is not new, having existed for centuries within architecture, artwork, literature, religious texts, mass media, and consumer products, I am proposing 'content osmosis' as a term to emphasize the inconspicuous transfer of characteristics from media content to audience. The inheritance of these characteristics might occur for some people without an awareness of the mechanism, but this is desirable for vendors who use content osmosis to sell their products. A goal of this article is to enhance a reader's awareness of content osmosis and its function as a tool of persuasion.

A recent marketing strategy that incorporates content osmosis is 'emotional branding.' Marc Gobé, a well known advocate of this strategy, writes that emotional branding "focuses on the most compelling aspect of human character: the desire to transcend material sat-

isfaction and experience emotional fulfillment." ¹ Consultant Graeme Newell states the process more clearly.

Emotional Marketing is messaging that builds your ego. It makes you feel smarter, bolder, more sophisticated, or just about any other emotion that is fundamental to your self-esteem. That is the genius of emotional marketing. It slips in under our radar. ²

The mechanism of content osmosis involves four components: media content, media characteristics, a commodity, and a recipient. The purpose of the media content is to accommodate the characteristics that will be transferred to recipients after they consume the designated commodity. An important requirement for the effectiveness of content osmosis is that recipients believe their identities are determined by

the products and services they consume. Psychologist Erich Fromm has written extensively on this topic, especially in *To Have or to Be*³ and *The Art of Being*.⁴ He writes, “In the property mode of existence the motto is: ‘I am what I have,’ while in the being mode of existence ‘I am what I am.’”⁵ The primary goal of this paper is to discuss the process of content osmosis within social media, and to propose that the features of this mechanism are the same when used with consumer products, films, or media. The majority of this paper will discuss how content osmosis is used within social media, and its relevance to advertising and taboo media content. The paper will present various financial and corporate details that pertain to certain entities involved with social media. Some of these statistics, such as Facebook’s market value and user base, will have certainly changed by the time the paper is published and read. The purpose of supplying these details is merely to support the paper’s general conclusion on the commodification of social media. Readers who are interested in current financial statistics on these entities can obtain them through the relevant sources listed in the endnotes.

TORCHES, DREAMS, AND COWBOYS

Torches of Freedom was an historical advertising campaign created in 1929 by Edward Bernays, a nephew of Sigmund Freud who is considered the father of public relations.⁶ The American Tobacco Company had hired Bernays to remove the stigma of women smoking in public, and to encourage them to smoke the *Lucky Strike* brand of cigarettes. Few respectable women were smoking in public during that era, and it was legally banned in some US states.⁷ Bernays’s promotional strategy included the hiring of young women to smoke *Lucky Strikes* while marching in the 1929 Easter day parade in New York City. He also arranged for photographs and stories of the event to be presented the following day in newspapers, newsreels, and other “reading matter.”⁸ Bernays’s approach was innovative because these promotional materials appeared to the public as being legitimate news rather than advertising. Allan M. Brandt, author of *The Cigarette Century* writes:

*The manipulation of public opinion, values, and beliefs would, in the 1920s, become a dominant aspect of the consumer culture. It was at this time that blurring the line between advertising and the news became a critically important technique in marketing products of all kinds.*⁹

The other innovative aspect of Bernays’s strategy was to frame the consumption of a product as a means to fulfill desires that are unrelated to the actual product. Bernays disseminated the notion that cigarettes were “torches of freedom” for women and that they could be equal to men by smoking in public. “The young women marched down Fifth Avenue puffing *Lucky Strikes*, effectively uniting the symbol of the emancipated flapper with that of the committed suffragist.”¹⁰



Figure 1. *Lucky Strike, Girl In Red*, advertising photo for Lucky Strike, 1936. Original photo by Nickolas Muray. Uploaded by PDTillman. Used with permission via the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 Unported license.

Leo Burnett created an advertising campaign in 1954 that was strategically related to *Torches of Freedom*, except it was targeted at male smokers who typically smoked unfiltered cigarettes. Burnett had been hired by the Philip Morris Company to create an advertising campaign that would encourage men to smoke Marlboro filtered cigarettes, a brand that had been marketed since the 1930’s as a luxury cigarette for women.¹¹ Burnett’s initial strategy was to present masculine images of the filtered cigarettes being smoked by “Sea captains, athletes, gunsmiths, and cowboys.”^{12 13} Over its years of development, the campaign eventually focused on the iconic *Marlboro man* as a cowboy.¹⁴

*The Marlboro cowboy suggested a mythic time, not only before the bureaucratization and urbanization of the twentieth century, but a time of simple pleasures, before the mid-century discovery that smoking brought risk and disease.*¹⁵

Both of these advertising campaigns used similar strategies for promoting the consumption of cigarettes. The effectiveness of these campaigns is based on the assumption that people want to be more than their perceived identities: that women and men both desire to be freer and more masculine. The marketing strategy embedded within these campaigns is that people could be persuaded to consume cigarettes for reasons other than the pleasures of smoking. Bernays acknowledged the incorporation of his uncle’s ideas when he wrote in 1928:

*It is chiefly the psychologists of the school of Freud who have pointed out that many of man’s thoughts and actions are compensatory substitutes for desires which he has been obliged to suppress. A thing may be desired not for its intrinsic worth or usefulness, but because he has unconsciously come to see in it a symbol of something else, the desire for which he is ashamed to admit to himself.*¹⁶

“Life isn’t always what one likes, is it?” says the newspaper reporter to a young princess who has run away from the demands of her noble life and inadvertently into the arms of the handsome but poor writer.¹⁷ The princess is played by Audrey Hepburn, the reporter is Gregory Peck, and the film is William Wilder’s *Roman Holiday* (1953). The reporter is initially reluctant to help the young woman, but he becomes interested after learning her true identity and by proposing to his editor that he can write an exclusive article about the princess for \$5,000. The princess believes she is incognito and claims to be a runaway student, while the reporter states that he is a “fertilizer salesman.” During their day together, the princess pretends to be a regular young woman visiting Rome, while Peck acts like an accommodating gentleman and pays for her small indulgences on borrowed money. After their 24 hour adventure is complete and the two characters have fallen in love, Hepburn grudgingly returns to her duty as a princess and acknowledges her role as a public relations agent for her country. Peck’s character then decides he will not write the article, apparently because his love for the princess is more important than his need of money.

Roman Holiday presents audiences with a romantic scenario in which audience members might imagine themselves to be the beautiful princess or the handsome, noble-hearted reporter who has fallen in love with her. And viewers of the film whose level of prosperity is below that of the aristocracy may also feel enlightened by the notion that the simpler things in life are the envy of the ruling class, and that gentlemanly conduct is nobler than exploitation, even when the potential victim is wealthy.

But, while the heroes in [the real] Hollywood are those with the most money, in the movies we find the opposite extreme. The wealthy tycoon is almost always the villain and the hero is the man of good will. The hero or heroine may be rich, but wealth

does not give them their status. Often we are asked to admire the poor little rich girl who breaks away from her luxurious environment to marry the poor hero whom she loves.¹⁸

Various forms of media have been used throughout history to provide humanity with the archetypal personas that have contributed to our social order. These characters are often depicted as being godlike, with lives that are seemingly more meaningful and exciting than our own.¹⁹²⁰ Epic poetry, religious texts, sculpture, and painting performed a social function in older times that is now provided through mass media, especially cinema. “Hollywood is engaged in the mass production of prefabricated daydreams,” writes Hortense Powdermaker in her 1950 book, *Hollywood, the Dream Factory: an Anthropologist Looks at the Movie Makers*.²¹ Like Hollywood films, the *Torches of Freedom* and *Marlboro Man* campaigns persuaded consumers that a transcendence of reality could be achieved by experiencing their products. This transcendence can supposedly be obtained through purchasing a packet of cigarettes or a ticket to a film.

Another example of this phenomenon is the *Get a Mac* advertising campaign that presented television audiences with two young men, one stating that he is a Mac and the other that he is a PC.²² Through specific mannerisms and various scenarios, the ‘Mac guy’ is presented as a laid-back, capable and trustworthy man, while the ‘PC guy’ is presented as an overweight and incompetent nerd who tries to deceive his customers.²³ The apparent implication of the *Get a Mac* campaign is that owners of Mac and PC computers are like the characters being depicted. Many consumer products – including luxury cars, designer clothing, and running shoes – supposedly provide their customers with admirable qualities that are unrelated to the actual products. Writer and social activist Naomi Klein explains that “Nike isn’t a running shoe company, it is about the

idea of transcendence through sports, Starbucks isn’t a coffee shop chain, it’s about the idea of community.”²⁴ Media theorist Douglas Rushkoff states that “[b]rands become more than just a mark of quality, they become an invitation to a longed-for lifestyle, a ready-made identity.”²⁵

RELATIONSHIPS WITH SOCIAL MEDIA AND USER-GENERATED CONTENT

There are four primary relationships that a person can have with media: as a tool-builder, content-creator, content-distributor, or audience member. Before a medium can be used as a system of communication, its technologies must be constructed and made available to the creators, distributors, and audiences of content. Examples of tool-builders include the industrial designers, electrical engineers, and computer programmers who design and manufacture the technologies that enable the creation or experience of digital media content. Content-creators are the producers, directors, designers, and musicians who use these tools to create content, and they are typically more involved with the social or economic functions of media than with its technologies. Content-distributors are involved with the delivery of content to audiences using distribution-tools. Examples of content-distributors include Web hosting companies, commercial radio stations, film distributors, and film theaters. The most common relationship people have with media is as audience members, who experience content through some form of distribution technology. Audiences are critical to the existence of media because they provide the means of commodifying content that enables the existence of content creators and distributors. Audiences provide media purveyors with direct income by purchasing media content or distribution services, and they provide them with indirect income by purchasing products that are advertised within media.

User-generated content is an important feature of social media, distinguishing it from other forms of mass media that use content created by professionals employed as writers, actors, musicians, camerapersons, directors, or editors. When user-generated content is distributed through mass media, the public becomes both audience and creator by providing their acting, opinions, photographs, videos, audio recordings, or writings. This method of media production is related to Alvin Toffler’s idea of ‘prosumers,’ which he defines as consumers who contribute to the production of the commodities they consume.²⁶ An early form of mass media that was created by the public is the graffiti scrawled by construction workers onto the ancient pyramids at Giza,²⁷ but the obvious lineage of social media is the ‘letter to the editor’ format that has existed for centuries within newspapers and magazines. When published, these letters enable anyone to express their opinions to a potentially large readership.²⁸ Other formats of user-generated content that have evolved over the past 80 years within radio and television media include game shows, phone-in talk shows, reality television, hidden-camera reality television, talent competitions, and news programs.²⁹ A feature of user-generated content that is becoming increasingly popular is the incorporation of verbal abuse, ridicule, and humiliation directed at public participants – especially within phone-in talk shows.³⁰

The distribution of user-generated content provides significant advantages to media producers, distributors, and audiences. The production of professional quality media – especially television programs and narrative films – can involve enormous expenditure, including the costs of writers, talent, production crews, directors, sets, and equipment. These costs are significantly reduced when user-generated content is incorporated into mass media because the public provides documentation of themselves as content, usually without cost to distributors and often using their own

recording equipment. But the most valuable advantage of user-generated content for distributors is an audience’s inherent attraction to content that features the public themselves.

Anyone with voyeuristic desires will likely be attracted to the enormity of personal photos and videos that are easily obtained through social media; and people with even mild feelings of narcissism or exhibitionism will probably be captivated by a distribution system that permits broadcasting documentation of themselves to large audiences. Erich Fromm observed that the general public is “so selfishly concerned with their private affairs that they pay little attention to all that transcends the personal realm.”³¹ A recent empirical study by Keith Wilcox and Andrew T. Stephen found that users of social media could increase their feelings of self-esteem by presenting positive images of themselves through social media.³² Audiences may be attracted to social media for various egoistic reasons, but this attraction becomes transformed into an economic structure that enables the existence and popularity of social media.

COMMODIFICATION OF SOCIAL MEDIA

Entities that produce or distribute media content need revenue for survival, whether it is an independent filmmaker who creates documentaries on poverty or a media conglomerate that produces commercial films, television programs, and newspapers. Media entities can survive through personal funds, public funding or private endowments, but most rely on the sale of a product, like any other commercial business. In his book *The Political Economy of Communication*, Vincent Mosco describes commodification as “the process of transforming things valued for their use into marketable products that are valued for what they can bring in exchange.”³³ The strategy to commodify a

pair of shoes may be obvious, but the transformation of media into a marketable product may be less apparent because the content of mass media is not always its product. Noam Chomsky explains this approach to the commodification of media in the “propaganda model” that is described in many of his books, including *Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media*, which he co-authored with Edward S. Herman.³⁴

A core idea in their propaganda model is that the audiences of mass media are the product being sold, and the buyers of this product are the corporations whose goods are being advertised through mass media.³⁵ A television program becomes a marketable product, for example, through its ability to attract the type of audience that is desired by advertisers. Chomsky describes:

*the case of public TV station WNET, which 'lost its corporate underwriting from Gulf+Western as a result of a documentary called 'Hunger for Profit,' about multinationals buying up huge tracts of land in the third world.' These actions 'had not been those of a friend,' Gulf's chief executive wrote to the station, adding that the documentary was 'virulently anti-business, if not anti-American.'*³⁶

The propaganda model considers the primary functions of mass media to include the accommodation of advertising, attraction of audiences to advertising, and the promotion of values through news media that support the corporations who pay for the advertisements. When Chomsky was asked during a public lecture if his analysis is ‘a conspiracy theory,’ he replied:

Look, part of the structure of corporate capitalism is that the players in the game try to increase profits and market shares – if they don't do that, they will no longer be players in the game. Any

*economist knows this: it's not a conspiracy theory to point that out, it's just taken for granted as an institutional fact..Well, what we've been discussing are simply the institutional factors that set the boundaries for reporting and interpretation in the ideological institutions. That's the opposite of conspiracy theory, it's just normal institutional analysis, the kind of analysis you do automatically when you're trying to understand how the world works. For people to call it a "conspiracy theory" is part of the effort to prevent an understanding of how the world works..*³⁷

A common characteristic of most social media is that users are provided services without cost, yet Facebook, Twitter and YouTube – the preeminent social media providers – are each valued at more than \$10 billion USD. The commodification of media within these companies is not obtained by selling media content; the photos, opinions, and videos they distribute are given freely to users. Table 1 lists the social networking sites with the highest amount of web traffic, and this list also includes the top four websites of any type. The primary source of revenue for 29 of the 31 sites is through advertisements that are embedded within their content. The two sites in the list that do not rely on this form of commodification are soundcloud.com³⁸ and meetup.com;³⁹ their incomes are obtained through marketing services that are provided to their paying customers.⁴⁰

Website	Rank	Country	Comment
google.com	1	USA	market capitalization: \$248 billion USD
facebook.com	2	USA	market capitalization: \$26.97 billion USD
youtube.com	3	USA	subsidiary of Google
yahoo.com	4	USA	market capitalization: \$22.71 billion USD
twitter.com	8	USA	market capitalization: \$10 billion USD
linkedin.com	13	USA	market capitalization: \$11.72 billion USD
vk.com	28	Russia	
weibo.com	32	China	
pinterest.com	38	USA	
flickr.com	60	USA	
livejournal.com	120	Russia	
douban.com	122	China	
renren.com	128	China	
deviantart.com	138	USA	
stumbleupon.com	156	USA	
soundcloud.com	198	Germany	
myspace.com	205	USA	
taringa.net	252	Argentina	
xing.com	275	German	
kaixin001.com	276	China	
mixi.jp	359	Japan	
ning.com	457	USA	
meetup.com	465	USA	
viadeo.com	504	France	
delicious.com	532	USA	
hi5.com	648	USA	
netlog.com	704	Belgium	
orkut.com	727	USA	owned by Google
cloob.com	836	Iran	
skyrock.com	851	France	
last.fm	934	UK	

Table 1. Ranking of most popular social networking sites according to network traffic, including four most popular websites of any type.⁴¹⁴²

THE JUGGERNAUTS OF SOCIAL MEDIA

Google's primary Internet service is a search engine, but they are directly involved in social media through youtube.com, plus.google.com and orkut.com, social media sites owned by Google. Google.com has the most web traffic in the world, and the company's market capitalization at the time of this writing is \$248 billion USD.⁴³ Ninety-five percent of Google's revenue is obtained through 'Adwords,' a contextual advertising service that is sold to Google's clients.⁴⁴ Google's revenue from advertising was \$46 billion USD for 2012, and its profit was 10.7 billion USD.⁴⁵ Google distributes targeted advertisements for its clients within web search results and on partner websites that participate in its 'Adsense' program.⁴⁶ Clients are charged according to the number of customers who have clicked on their ads or, for a smaller fee, the number of times an ad is displayed to customers – known as 'impressions.' Partner sites that host Google's ads receive a portion of the advertising revenue obtained through

Adsense. The largest partner site of Google's AdSense program is YouTube, the video-sharing site that has been owned by Google since 2006. A YouTube marketing document states:

*9 out of 10 viewers can be enticed to watch video ads. Just write your ad, set your budget, and choose targeting options, like interests and ages, that speak to your audience. AdWords for video takes care of the rest, automatically positioning your video in front of the people who are reading, searching for, and watching online content related to your business.*⁴⁷

Twitter is a social networking site that describes itself as “an information network that brings people closer to what's important to them.”⁴⁸ The website currently supports 140 million users and 340 million messages – known as ‘tweets’ – per day. Twitter is a

private company that is not yet traded on any stock market, but its current market value is estimated to be \$10 billion USD.⁴⁹ Twitter's revenue is obtained through on-page advertising and 'promoted tweets' that are distributed through various websites as 'now trending.' Twitter states on their site that users can "Use Promoted Tweets to amplify your message with targeting options on Twitter.com and across mobile devices to reach the right person, in the right place, at the right time."⁵⁰ Many entertainers use Twitter to promote themselves, and the Twitter users that currently have the most followers are singers Lady Gaga, Justin Bieber, Katy Perry, and Rihanna.⁵¹ Bieber's Twitter account, YouTube channel, and Facebook page all contain advertisements for his music, listings of tour dates, or links for purchasing his music online.

Facebook's revenue is currently derived from advertisements placed on the right-hand side of users' pages, or from 'sponsored stories,' which are "messages coming from friends about them engaging with your Page, app or event that a business, organization or individual has paid to highlight so there's a better chance people see them."⁵² Clients who use Facebook's advertising services are charged according to the number of users who click on their advertisements or by the number of advertisements that are simply displayed to users. Facebook's method for targeting advertisements to users is different from Google's strategy because Facebook uses personal demographics. Google places advertisements that correspond with the words entered into its search engine, or according to the words appearing in the content of partner websites. Facebook can offer its clients unprecedented audience size, which is currently 1 billion, and extensive personal information on its users.⁵³ Facebook's clients can designate a target audience according to various demographics, including city, country, gender, age, marital status, language, likes, interests, operating system, ethnicity,

connections to specific Facebook pages, events or apps, friends of targeted audiences, relationship status, interest in men or women, education level, college attended, field of study, and employer. Friends of targeted audiences can also be designated as targets for advertisements.⁵⁴

These details illustrate that YouTube, Twitter, and Facebook are commodifying social media through the placement of embedded advertising within user-generated content, and that this strategy is providing them with significant revenue. Using the perspective of Chomsky and Herman's propaganda model, social media content is being used to attract users so they can be sold to advertising clients.

CONTENT OSMOSIS AND THE POLITICAL ECONOMY OF SOCIAL MEDIA

The perspective for analyzing social media within this paper is derived from 'political economy,' which Vincent Mosco describes as "the study of the social relations, particularly the power relations, that mutually constitute the production, distribution, and consumption of resources, including communication resources."⁵⁵ Some proponents who witnessed the birth of the Web proclaimed this new resource would finally enable anyone to become a producer of content, and conglomerates would no longer dominate the production and distribution of media.⁵⁶ More recently, advocates of social media have expressed a similar enthusiasm because even people lacking technical abilities can easily share their opinions using worldwide distribution structures, such as Facebook, YouTube, or Twitter.

Having meaningful social interactions, being part of a community, and receiving social recognition are important contributors to our emotional well-being,⁵⁷

but the pursuit of these needs through conventional methods provides few of us with the social recognition we may desire or feel we deserve. Most people probably discover that "Life isn't always what one likes."⁵⁸ In a world of mass media dominated by nobility, politicians, celebrity actors, musicians and athletes, the opportunity to display oneself to the world through social media is an appealing feature for anyone seeking recognition from friends, family, or the public. Social networking sites are now contributing to the social needs of over 50% of adults in USA, Britain and Russia, and this figure is over 90% for users 18-29 years old in USA, Italy, Britain, and Spain.⁵⁹⁶⁰ Achieving public recognition is undoubtedly difficult for anyone seeking it through conventional means, but social media has facilitated real fame for a few people, as singer Justin Bieber did with YouTube⁶¹ or writer Kelly Oxford with Twitter.⁶² A unique feature of social media relative to other mass media is its supposed capacity for promotion and empowerment of the masses.

We can be empowered through social media in the same manner that we are motivated by the personas within a narrative.⁶³ Our identification with the characters in a film is often explained in film theory using Jacques Lacan's notion of the "mirror stage."⁶⁴ Lacan proposed that an infant first acquires a mental representation of the self by identifying with a reflection of their body in a mirror, and that an infant's ego is then formed through this objectification. Film theorist Christian Metz applied Lacan's ideas to cinema by proposing that audiences are attracted to characters in a film that depict desirable personas for objectifying the self.⁶⁵ A common persona within narrative film is the underdog who is repeatedly maltreated or denied opportunity, but who eventually becomes a hero by overcoming incredible obstacles. Filmgoers who feel disadvantaged in their actual lives may identify with the underdog narrative and consequently feel empow-

ered by the notion that they also possess the heroic qualities of the underdog persona.

For most people, identification with the characters in a narrative film is likely to cease at the completion of the narrative, or by becoming cognizant that the personas are merely actors. Social media, like film, provide audiences with personas for identification, but these personas are different from those in film because the characters within social media are also its audience. This feature of social media – its user-generated content – enables an effective mechanism for broadcasting a desirable image of the self. Facebook users, for example, can post photos of themselves being more sociable, more beautiful, more intelligent, or more accomplished than the real self. During interviews with Facebook users, psychologist Sherry Turkle was told by a participant "not to be fooled by anyone you interview who tells you that his Facebook page is 'the real me.' It's like being in a play. You make a character."⁶⁶ Narrative film and social media both attract audiences through depictions of desirable personas, but their methods for obtaining revenue through this attraction are different. Revenue from narrative film is typically attained through ticket sales to audiences, but revenue from social media is usually obtained through advertisements that are funded by clients. By enabling its users to feel that they can obtain desirable personas through participation in social media, content osmosis becomes a mechanism for attracting users so they can be directed to embedded advertising.

Another feature of social media that some people find appealing is the ability to communicate with friends or strangers while avoiding face-to-face or real-time interactions. Turkle discusses this quality extensively in her book *Alone Together*, and she quotes an interviewee who said "After work – I want to go home, look at some photos from the grandchildren on Facebook, send some e-mails and feel in touch. I'm tired. I'm not

ready for people – I mean people in person.”⁶⁷ Many of the younger participants interviewed by Turkle were uncomfortable engaging in face-to-face or telephone communication, stating they lacked the conversational abilities. “All the Richelieu sophomores agree that the thing to avoid is the telephone. Mandy [a student interviewee] presents a downbeat account of a telephone call: ‘You wouldn’t want to call because then you would have to get into a conversation.’”⁶⁸ An extreme example of this avoidance was an emergency situation in Australia where two young girls fell unnoticed into the public sewer system on a street. Instead of using their smart phone to call an emergency number, they used it to post their status on Facebook.⁶⁹ Turkle describes how some people prefer social media and text messages because they can edit a communication before sending it, giving them opportunities to create ideal images of themselves. “The advantage of screen communication is that it is a place to reflect, retype, and edit. ‘It is a place to hide,’” says one of Turkle’s interviewees.⁷⁰ Another interviewee states: “If Facebook were deleted, I’d be deleted.... All my memories would probably go along with it...I might actually freak out.”⁷¹

This article has discussed how social media can empower its users by enabling them to construct idealized depictions of themselves; and most users pursue this by posting appealing content of themselves. The mechanism of content osmosis used in this process, however, can also be used with reprehensible content. On the darker side of using media to obtain feelings of empowerment are fictitious or actual depictions of human ridicule, humiliation, vengeance, and death. Witnessing or distributing this form of content provides some people with feelings of pleasure that are known as ‘Schadenfreude.’⁷² John Portman presents an extensive discussion and social justification of Schadenfreude in his book *When Bad Things Happen*

to Other People, and he uses the writings of moral philosophers to explain how we are empowered by depictions of human tragedy because they provide evidence of ourselves being superior or, at least, more fortunate than the victims of tragic circumstances. Portman writes, “Nietzsche believes that we have been socially conditioned to view the setbacks of other persons in terms of our own well-being. Ever worried that people around us may be flourishing more than we are, we view their suffering as a chance to even the score, as it were. In Nietzsche’s genealogy of Schadenfreude, our pleasure comes not just from the actual suffering of others but also from the fact that they suffer.”⁷³

Using human suffering as entertainment has existed since antiquity. Ancient Rome entertained its citizens with actual death within gladiatorial events, while today’s audiences are presented with fictional and real human tragedies within various forms of media, including narrative film, computer games, news media, and social media. The poet Byron coined the phrase “Roman holiday” in reference to an “occasion on which enjoyment or profit is derived from others’ suffering or discomfort” – a historical reference to Rome’s presentation of gladiator events on civic holidays.⁷⁴ The human desire for Schadenfreude is provided in social media through confessional websites, which provide online media spaces where users can discuss their participation in self-mutilation, rape, incest, murder, or any other sins and taboo topics they wish to share.⁷⁵ A related format is the ‘revenge website,’ where users attempt to damage the reputations of ex-lovers or ex-friends by posting provocative documentation. The most notorious revenge site is considered to be *Is Anyone Up?*⁷⁷ This site allowed users to post anonymous submissions of nude photographs or streaming media of anyone – accompanied by a victim’s “Facebook or Twitter accounts, with their full names, cities, and states blasted in Google searchable headlines.”⁷⁸

The juggernaut YouTube is also a distributor of unscrupulous content. YouTube is a social medium because users create most of its video content, but the user comments that accompany the videos are its most populous form of user-generated content. This feature permits users to express supportive or disparaging comments about posted videos, about posters of the videos, about other users, or about any other topic desired. Many YouTube users present themselves within comments as being more knowledgeable, more just, or more apathetic than other users. Disparaging comments are especially common for videos that have gone viral. Amanda Todd was a Canadian teen who posted a video on YouTube in 2012 in which she describes her tragic story of being bullied online.⁷⁹ The video received significant international attention after she committed suicide one month later. Online comments accompanying her video within YouTube include, “you did it to yourself dumb bitch,” “Fuck you hawksargent [another user’s ID] hope you die in HELL;” and “...if I find the guy I will kill him for what he have [sic] done!”⁸⁰ Public expressions of apathy and aggression towards suicide victims are probably taboo for most people, but it is apparently acceptable for some users of social media. In his book on Schadenfreude, John Portman discusses psychologist Melvin Lerner’s extensive research on justice, which found that most people believe victims of tragedy are deserving of their circumstances because they believe the world is just. Portman writes:

People who fear the vagaries of life or sudden reversals of good fortune may rely unduly upon a belief in the invisible hand of justice. When such people come across examples of suffering, they tell themselves that the suffering has happened to a person who somehow deserved it.. When others rationalize our suffering, persuading themselves that we deserve to suffer when they do not really believe we do, cruelty raises its head. The familiar

*moral objections to cruelty apply to rationalizing the undeserved suffering of others.*⁸¹

Using Portman’s perspective, the numerous YouTube viewers who wrote disparaging comments about Amanda Todd will feel empowered because of Schadenfreude, and they will also feel justified in expressing their viewpoints if they believe the world is just.

Social media incorporate various mechanisms that enable users to feel empowered through content osmosis – including Schadenfreude, the ability to avoid face-to-face communication, and the freedom to post disparaging opinions – but these features are also essential parts of social media’s economic strategy. Most social media rely on embedded advertising as the primary source of revenue, similar to commercial television, radio, newspapers, and magazines. And like these established media, the quantity of advertising revenue obtained will correspond with the number of people attracted to the content, even when this content is given freely to its audience. The purveyors of social media have apparently learned that any form of content that attracts more users will lead to increased revenue, even when this content is reprehensible.

CONCLUSION

Social media provides a technical mechanism that distributes mediated symbols of ourselves – our photos, videos, and comments – to an audience that we hope is watching, providing the world of mass media with evidence of our existence and fame. For many people, social media blurs the difference between their reality and dreams by making them feel that their voice is important, that people are listening, and that they exist in the real world as they exist in media. Decades before the popularity of social media, Jean Baudrillard

proposed that we have become so occupied with simulacra – depictions of our world through media – that we have lost contact with the real.⁸² He states that we are now “substituting the signs of the real for the real,” and the world we once thought as real is now irrelevant.⁸³

Media have been used for millennia to depict imaginary experiences, but media content has only recently become an essential part of our personal identities. Some people are now using social media to fulfill their emotional needs⁸⁴ in the same manner that consumer products can provide feelings of affluence.⁸⁵ Sherry Turkle found “people describing Facebook as better than anything they have ever known.”⁸⁶ As the perceptual quality of digital media moves closer to being indistinguishable from reality with the uptake of ultra high definition,⁸⁷ it is likely that people will become even more dependent on media for creating their desired personas. But regardless of the specific technology being employed, content osmosis is the mechanism within media that feeds our illusion of being, making us feel that we are more than we are.

The most peculiar characteristic of content osmosis is that its effects do not actually exist – content osmosis only provides an illusion of our social needs being fulfilled through media. We do not really inherit the characteristics of the media we experience, we do not become a cowboy by smoking Marlboros, we do not transcend by wearing Nikes, and we do not become affluent by purchasing a luxury car with borrowed money. Naomi Klein states in an interview:

When you listen to brand managers talk, you can get quite carried away in this idea that they actually are fulfilling these needs that we have for community and narrative and transcendence. But in the end, it is, you know, a laptop and a pair of

*running shoes. And they might be great, but they're not actually going to fulfill those needs.*⁸⁸

Turkle presents a related perspective in *Alone Together*, proposing that our increased dependence on new communication technologies has limited our ability and interest in face-to-face communication, and this dependency is significantly reducing the quality of our personal lives. She states, “[O]n social-networking sites such as Facebook, we think we will be presenting ourselves, but our profile ends up as somebody else – often the fantasy of who we want to be.”⁸⁹ She adds that digital media has become a “place for hope” for many people, but “what if one of the things technology wants is to exploit our disappointments and emotional vulnerabilities?”⁹⁰

The focus of this paper is not to discuss what technology wants, but to describe what is wanted by the purveyors of social media and their strategies for obtaining it. Most social media appear to be free services, but users are paying through the use of subterfuges.⁹¹ Content osmosis is the mechanism within social media that provides users with the symbols of being moral or immoral persons, but participation in social media usually involves users being exposed to embedded advertisements regardless of the type of social image they pursue. Edward Bernays was perhaps the first person to blur the lines between advertising and media content when he wrote in 1928 that “[t]here is no means of human communication which may not also be a means of deliberate propaganda, because propaganda is simply the establishing of reciprocal understanding between an individual and a group.”⁹² More recently, communication theorist W. James Potter described the goals of mass media:

In a mass medium, the sender's main intention is to condition audiences into a ritualistic mode of exposure; that is, senders are much less interested

*in coaxing people into one exposure than they are in trying to get people into a position where they will regularly be exposed to their messages. Senders attempt this conditioning by making the exposures efficient to the audience. Efficiency is achieved when the messages require as little cost to the audience as possible while delivering maximum payoffs. The greater the message efficiency, the greater the audience size. As the mass media increase the size of their audience, their revenues also grow.*⁹³

We are attracted to social media through the mechanism of content osmosis, believing that participation will fulfill our social needs. Social media are effective mechanisms for containing advertising because we are attracted repeatedly and for long durations; the more time we spend within social media environments, the more likely we will be influenced by their embedded advertisements. Social media have become a unique and powerful marketing tool because advertisers know the demographics of users who receive their ads, making their attempts at persuasion more efficient, effective, and profitable.

The evolution of digital media and the Internet has provided powerful technologies that are capable of creating a seemingly infinite variety of content, but the major purveyors of these new media are essentially advertising companies. Because their success is determined by the size of their audiences, the content they broadcast is valued according to its capacity to attract audiences. Disturbing videos on YouTube become effective advertising mechanisms by attracting large audiences, such as the video *Saddam Hussein Execution* that was uploaded in 2006 and viewed 12.7 million times since then. During my viewing of this video in South Korea, the embedded advertisement was for *CJmall*, a beauty salon offering women a 3-5% discount on hairstyling and a free makeup service. An-

other ad adjacent to the video announced the opening of a new online clothing store called *8 Seconds*, accompanied by a photo of attractive young people in fashionable, military-style clothing. The embedded advertisements within specific YouTube videos will vary according to a viewer's geographical location.⁹⁴

Another example of disturbing content within social media is the video *911'Die Die' As Mother Stabs Toddler*, which has been viewed 2 million times since it was uploaded to YouTube in 2009. The video contains a recording of a 911 emergency call made by a security guard who is asking for help because a mother is stabbing her 2-year-old child in a laundry room, which can be heard in the background. When this video was viewed in Germany, Google's AdSense program added advertisements for a wellness-health center that provides facials, yoga, healthy drinks, and massage services. Adjacent to the video was an advertisement for a multi-user online game, called *DDTank*, in which players receive points for killing other online players within the game.⁹⁵

YouTube contains thousands of videos depicting fictitious or real murder, executions, rape, abuse and more – even though YouTube's user guidelines state the following:

*Graphic or gratuitous violence is not allowed. If your video shows someone getting hurt, attacked, or humiliated, don't post it. YouTube is not a shock site. Don't post gross-out videos of accidents, dead bodies and similar things.*⁹⁶

Contrary to the above guidelines, I propose that YouTube is tolerating videos that contain forbidden subject matter because they are effective mechanisms for attracting audiences to advertising, especially for viewers interested in Schadenfreude. Chomsky and Herman describe “advertising as the primary income

source of the mass media,” and that mass media’s content is selected according to its capacity to attract the specific audiences that are wanted by advertisers.⁹⁷ The type of audience that is desired by any distributor of mass media is one that is large in number; and when this becomes the primary criterion of success, even the dissemination of unethical content becomes permissible if it attracts a large viewership.

YouTube videos are commonly discussed according to the number of times they have been viewed, a statistic that is prominently displayed beneath every video they distribute. This number is essentially a proclamation of a video’s advertising power. When social media is viewed as a container for embedded advertising, the specific content being disseminated becomes less important for distributors than its ability to attract a large audience. But a distributor is likely to encounter a dilemma if it uses reprehensible content to increase viewership and advertising revenue. YouTube’s apparent solution to this predicament is to publicly state in its guidelines that it “is not a shock site,” but then quietly tolerate posted videos or user comments that contain this form of content.

Hunter Moore, the owner of revenge site *Is Anyone Up*, was interviewed by the *Village Voice* and asked how he would respond if photos posted on his site provoked a suicide. He replied:

*If somebody killed themselves over that? Do you know how much money I'd make? At the end of the day, I do not want anybody to hurt themselves. But if they do? Thank you for the money.*⁹⁸

Forbes magazine stated that “Moore is as willing to shed pretense and expose his capitalistic motives as the subjects on his site are willing to shed their clothes and expose their bodies.”⁹⁹

Through social media we are persuaded to believe that our need for recognition is fulfilled through depictions of ourselves being superior to the masses, or at least more fortunate than victims of tragedy. The function of content osmosis within social media is to direct us to embedded advertisements, which then attempt to persuade us to consume the advertised products. The various technologies that make up social media undoubtedly have tremendous potential in providing real benefits to people’s social lives, but pursuit of that goal is being overpowered by the colossal amount of content that is predominantly used to attract users of social media to advertisements.

The advertising world has evolved significantly since Bernays wrote *Propaganda* in 1929, but the underlying method has remained the same. The most common strategy for marketing consumer goods is to embed advertisements within forms of mass media content that are of interest to audiences. The major purveyors of social media are essentially advertising distributors who are in the business of manufacturing audiences and selling them to clients. Audiences are produced – or attracted – using content osmosis, the mechanism within various forms of media that harvests people’s attention by supplying them with symbols of their empowerment and the illusion of being more than they are. ■

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Marc Gobé, *Emotional Branding: A new Paradigm for Connecting Brands to People*, updated and revised edition (New York: Allworth Press, 2009), xix.
- Graeme Newell, “What is Emotional Marketing,” <http://602communications.com/what-is-emotional-marketing/> (accessed December 10, 2012).
- Erich Fromm, *To Have or to Be* (London: Continuum, 1976).
- Erich Fromm, *The Art of Being* (New York: Continuum, 1989).
- Ibid., 120.
- Allan M. Brandt, *The Cigarette Century* (New York: Basic Books, 2007): 80-85.
- Ibid., 57-58.
- Ibid., 84.
- Ibid., 81.
- Ibid., 85.
- Ibid., 262.
- Ibid., 263.
- A Marlboro television ad from 1955 presents a masculine man who is so obsessed with working on his car that he forgets to eat, but he doesn’t “forget to smoke.” YouTube, “Marlboro Cigarettes Commercial (1955),” online video, added by MiscVideos78rpm, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wibHcZ4FNbU> (accessed December 5, 2012).
- YouTube, “Marlboro Cigarettes Commercial,” online video, added by I Want Moore Retro, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yQmpqvoEpws> (accessed December 5, 2012).
- Allan M. Brandt, *The Cigarette Century*, 264.
- Edward Bernays, *Propaganda* (New York: Ig Publishing, 1928), 52.
- Roman Holiday*, dir. William Wyler, written by Dalton Trumbo. Ian McLellan Hunter. John Dighton (1953).
- Hortense Powdermaker, *Hollywood, the Dream Factory: An Anthropologist Looks at the Movie Makers* (Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1950), 313.
- Sir James George Frazer, *The Golden Bough* (New York: Macmillan, 1922).
- Joseph Campbell, *The Hero with a Thousand Faces* (New York: Pantheon Books, 1949).
- Hortense Powdermaker, *Hollywood, the Dream Factory: an Anthropologist Looks at the Movie Makers*, 39.
- Wikipedia, “Get a Mac,” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Get_a_mac (accessed December 7, 2012).
- YouTube, “The get a Mac Ad: Surprise,” online video, added by MacMan6294, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?NR=1&feature=endscreen&v=km2TEhLuMeM> (accessed December 7, 2012).
- Naomi Klein, *No Logo: Taking Aim at the Brand Bullies*, 10th Anniversary Edition (New York: Picador, 2009), xvii.
- “Douglas Rushkoff talks about branding,” *The Persuaders*, DVD, directed by Rachel Dretzin and Barak Goodman (Arlington, VA: Frontline, PBS Home Video, 2004). Online script, <http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/shows/persuaders/etc/script.html> (accessed November 6, 2012).
- Wikipedia, “Prosumer,” <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prosumers> (accessed, January 23, 2012).
- Joyce Tyldesley, “The Private Lives of the Pyramid-builders” *BBC-History*, February 17, 2011, http://www.bbc.co.uk/history/ancient/egyptians/pyramid_builders_01.shtml (accessed, December 8, 2012).
- Wikipedia, “Letter to the editor,” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Letter_to_the_editor (accessed December 7, 2012).
- Radio and television game shows were the first electronic media that used the public within a broadcast, beginning in 1938. Wikipedia, “Spelling Bee (game show),” [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spelling_Bee_\(game_show\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spelling_Bee_(game_show)) (accessed December 7, 2012).
- Live telephone conversations with audience members have been broadcast on phone-in radio and television programs for decades. See: Wikipedia, “Reality television,” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reality_television (accessed December 9, 2012).
- The hidden camera format of reality television has existed since 1947; an unsuspecting public is recorded within ridiculous but seemingly real situations. See: Wikipedia, “Candid Camera,” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Candid_camera (accessed December 12, 2012). Wikipedia, “Just for Laughs: Gags,” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Just_for_Laughs_Gags (accessed December 12, 2012). Also:

- Wikipedia, "American Idol," http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Idol (accessed December 7, 2012). Wikipedia, "Eurovision Song Contest," http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eurovision_Song_Contest (accessed December 7, 2012). Television and radio news programs often broadcast ordinary citizens giving opinions or describing their direct experiences about accidents, catastrophes, elections, and sport events.
30. Ian Hutchby, *Confrontation Talk: Arguments, Asymmetries, and Power on Talk Radio* (Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1996). An example of a phone-in caller being abused by the host is, YouTube, "Beck 'Lose[s]' His 'Mind;' Screams At Caller: 'Get Off My Phone You Little Pinhead!'" online video, added by [mediamatters4america](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DGeZQrpZbjl), <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DGeZQrpZbjl> (accessed January 24, 2013).
31. Erich Fromm, *To Have or to Be*, 9.
32. Keith Wilcox and Andrew T. Stephen, "Are Close Friends the Enemy? Online Social Networks, Self-Esteem, and Self-Control," forthcoming in *Journal of Consumer Research* (2013).
33. Vincent Mosco, *The Political Economy of Communication*, 2nd Edition, (London: Sage Publications, 2009), 127.
34. Edward S. Herman and Noam Chomsky, *Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media* (New York: Pantheon Books, 1988): 1-36.
35. Noam Chomsky, *Understanding Power: The Indispensable Chomsky*, eds. Peter R. Mitchell and John Schoeffel (New York: The New Press, 2002), 14.
36. Noam Chomsky, *Necessary Illusions: Thought Control in Democratic Societies*, PDF version (London: Electric Book Company), 19.
37. Noam Chomsky, *Understanding Power: The Indispensable Chomsky*, 26.
38. Sound Cloud, "SoundCloud Premium," <http://soundcloud.com/premium> (accessed December 6, 2012).
39. Meetup, "How do I sponsor Meetup Groups?" <http://www.meetup.com/help/How-do-I-sponsor-a-Meetup/> (accessed December 16, 2012).
40. Soundcloud.com is a music distribution website that is targeted to musicians; the company provides various levels of membership, varying in cost, storage space, and services provided. Alongside music within the site, Soundcloud's members can include links to Facebook and Twitter accounts, and to Apple's iTunes Store where their music can be purchased. Meetup.com is a portal that facilitates group meetings in various international cities. For a fee payable to Meetup, businesses can provide online discount coupons to specific Meetup groups or offer to host their meetings. Businesses that host a meeting obtain revenue through drinks and food that are purchased by participants during their meetings.
41. Wikipedia, "List of social networking sites," http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_social_networking_websites (accessed October 14, 2012).
42. Alexa, The Web information company, "Site info," <http://www.alexa.com/> (accessed, December 4, 2012). Web traffic for plus.google.com is included in the ratings of google.com.
43. Yahoo Finance, "GOOG," <http://finance.yahoo.com/q?s=GOOG> (accessed January 25, 2013).
44. Google, "Adwords Help," <http://support.google.com/adwords> (accessed December 8, 2012).
45. Google, "2012 Quarterly Earnings," January 22, 2013, <http://investor.google.com/earnings.html> (accessed January 25, 2013).
46. Google, "AdSense – Google Ads," <http://www.google.com/adsense/start/> (accessed December 8, 2012).
47. YouTube, "Quick Start: Grow Your Business with YouTube," PDF document, <http://www.youtube.com/yt/advertise/index.html> (accessed December 8, 2012).
48. Twitter, "What is Twitter – Twitter for Business," <https://business.twitter.com/en/basics/what-is-twitter/> (accessed December 7, 2012).
49. Nick Bolton, "A Master of Improv, Writing Twitter's Script," *New York Times*, October 6, 2012, <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/10/07/technology/dick-costolo-of-twitter-an-improv-master-writing-its-script.html> (accessed December 7, 2012).
50. Twitter, "Prompted Tweets," <https://business.twitter.com/en/advertise/promoted-tweets/> (accessed December 7, 2012).
51. Twitaholic, "Top Twitter User Rankings & Stats," <http://twitaholic.com/> (accessed December 7, 2012).
52. Facebook, "What are sponsored stories?," <http://www.facebook.com/help/162317430499238/> (accessed December 17, 2012).
53. Ashlee Vance, "Facebook: The Making of 1 Billion Users," *Business Week*, October 4, 2012, <http://www.businessweek.com/articles/2012-10-04/facebook-the-making-of-1-billion-users> (accessed December 12, 2012).
54. This marketing information was obtained through a series of screen grabs from a *Facebook Ad Account* provided by Bob Beck, Creative Director at Design Society in Montreal. It is not possible to provide a public link to view all the targeting categories available in Facebook, but a general collection is described in Facebook at "Frequently Asked Questions About Advertising on Facebook," <http://www.facebook.com/advertising/faq> (accessed December 17, 2012).
55. Vincent Mosco, *The Political Economy of Communication*, 2.
56. Howard Rheingold, *The Virtual Community: Homesteading on the Electronic Frontier*, revised edition, originally published in 1993 by Addison Wesley (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2000).
57. Aristotle wrote in *Politics*, "an individual who is unsocial naturally and not accidentally is either beneath our notice or more than human...Anyone who either cannot lead the common life or is so self-sufficient as not to need to, and therefore does not partake of society, is either a beast or a god." Aristotle, *Politics*, c.328 B.C., xviii.
58. The defeatist line spoken by the reporter to the unhappy princess in the film *Roman Holiday*. A similar idea is expressed in the popular line from David Thoreau's *Walden* (1854), "The mass of men lead lives of quiet desperation."
59. Pew Research Center, "Social Networking Popular Across Globe," survey report, December 12, 2012, <http://www.pewglobal.org/2012/12/12/social-networking-popular-across-globe/> (accessed December 15, 2012).
60. Pew Research Center, "Pew Internet: Social Networking (full detail)," survey report, November 13, 2012, <http://pewinternet.org/Commentary/2012/March/Pew-Internet-Social-Networking-full-detail.aspx> (accessed January 20, 2013).
61. An extensive article on Justin Bieber in *Forbes* magazine states that "Bieber has a legitimate claim on the social media crown," and "In 2010 a Twitter employee said 3% of the company's infrastructure was dedicated to handling Bieber-related tweets." Zack O'Malley Greenburg, "Justin Bieber, Venture Capitalist: The Forbes Cover Story," *Forbes*, <http://www.forbes.com/sites/zackomalleygreenburg/2012/05/16/justin-bieber-venture-capitalist-the-forbes-cover-story/1/> (accessed October 12, 2012).
62. Nicole Sperling, "Twitter sensation Kelly Oxford hooks Hollywood," *Los Angeles Times*, <http://articles.latimes.com/2012/jun/19/entertainment/la-et-twitter-oxford-20120619> (accessed September 12, 2012).
63. Jonathan Cohen, "Defining Identification: A Theoretical Look at the Identification of Audiences with Media Characters," in *Mass Communication and Society* 4, no.3 (2001): 245-264.
64. Jacques Lacan, "The Subversion of the Subject and the Dialectic of Desire in the Freudian Unconscious," in *Ecrits: The First Complete Edition in English*, trans. Bruce Fink (New York: W.W. Norton & Co), 671-702.
65. Christian Metz, "The Imaginary Signifier," in *The Imaginary Signifier: Psychoanalysis and the Cinema*, trans. Ben Brewster, paperback version (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1982), 1-88.
66. Sherry Turkle, *Alone Together: Why We Expect More From Technology and Less From Each Other*, Kindle version (New York: Basic Books, 2011), 183.
67. *Ibid.*, 203.
68. *Ibid.*, 199.
69. *Ibid.*, 335.

70. Ibid., 187.
71. Ibid., 192.
72. The German word Schadenfreude is defined as “pleasure derived by someone from another person’s misfortune.” Oxford Dictionaries Online, “Schadenfreude,” <http://oxforddictionaries.com> (accessed November 8, 2012).
73. John Portmann, *When Bad Things Happen to Other People*, Kindle version (New York: Routledge, 2000), 108.
74. Oxford Dictionaries Online, “Roman holiday,” <http://oxforddictionaries.com> (accessed November 8, 2012).
75. PostSecret, “Sunday Secrets,” <http://www.postsecret.com/> (accessed December 8, 2012).
76. e-admit, “online confessions, stories, secrets – confess your sins,” <http://e-admit.com/> (accessed December 3, 2012).
77. The original *Is Anyone Up?* was closed in April, 2012. The domain name <http://isanyoneup.com> now forwards to a site criticizing Hunter Moore and bullying. The site was accessed December 8, 2012.
78. Camille Doder, “Hunter Moore Makes a Living Screwing You,” *Village Voice*, April 4, 2012, <http://www.villagevoice.com/2012-04-04/news/venge-porn-hunter-moore-is-anyone-up/> (accessed November 28, 2012).
79. Wikipedia, “Suicide of Amanda Todd,” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Suicide_of_Amanda_Todd (accessed December 19, 2012).
80. YouTube comments section for online video, “Amanda Todd Suicide – Full Original Video,” added by FrambaTV, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KRxfTyNa24A> (accessed December 20, 2012). This specific posting and its comments are no longer available as of July 7, 2013, but copies of the video and new comments are available on YouTube under the same title.
81. John Portmann, *When Bad Things Happen to Other People*, 58.
82. Jean Baudrillard, *Simulacra and Simulation*, trans. Sheila Faria Glaser (Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press, 1994).
83. Ibid., 2.
84. Keith Wilcox and Andrew T. Stephan, “Are Close Friends the Enemy? Online Social Networks, Self-Esteem, and Self-Control.”
85. Thomas J. Stanley, *Stop Acting Rich... and Start Living Like a Real Millionaire* (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2009).
86. Sherry Turkle, *Alone Together: Why We Expect More From Technology and Less From Each Other*, 160.
87. Wikipedia, “Ultra high definition television,” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ultra_high_definition_television (accessed January 15, 2013).
88. “Naomi Klein talks about branding,” in *The Persuaders*, directed by Dretzin and Goodman.
89. Sherry Turkle, *Alone Together: Why We Expect More From Technology and Less From Each Other*, 153.
90. Ibid., 282.
91. I describe media subterfuges as “media content that is created or distributed for reasons other than what an audience believes, or for reasons other than what is proclaimed by the producers and promoters of the content.” Don Ritter, “The Ethics of Aesthetics,” in *Transdisciplinary Digital Art*, eds. R. Adams, S. Gibson, and S. Muller Arisona (Berlin: Springer, 2008), 12.
92. Edward Bernays, *Propaganda*, 150.
93. W. James Potter, *Theory of Media Literacy: A Cognitive Approach* (Los Angeles: Sage), 44.
94. YouTube, “Saddam Hussien [sic] Execution,” online video, added by peza100, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4JUL-l6ov10> (accessed September 24, 2012 from South Korea).
95. YouTube, “g11 Call: ‘Die Die’ as Mother Stabs Toddler,” online video, added by AssociatedPress, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bfnnkiG1U5M> (accessed August 15, 2011 from Germany). As above please refer to <http://www.aesthetic-machinery.com/osmosis.html> for a screen grab of the discussed events (accessed October 8th 2013).
96. YouTube, “YouTube Community Guidelines,” http://www.youtube.com/t/community_guidelines (accessed September 11, 2012).
97. Edward S. Herman and Noam Chomsky, *Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media*, 2.
98. Camille Doder, “Hunter Moore Makes a Living Screwing You.”
99. Kashmir Hill, “Why We Find Hunter Moore And His ‘Identity Porn’ Site, IsAnyoneUp, So Fascinating,” *Forbes*, April 5, 2012, <http://www.forbes.com/sites/kashmirhill/2012/04/05/hunter-moore-of-isanyoneup-wouldnt-mind-making-some-money-off-of-a-suicide/> (accessed October 12, 2012).